# FEDERAL SENTENCING REPORTER

Volume 25, Number 5 • June 2013



### **EDITORS**

Douglas A. Berman
Frank O. Bowman III
Steven L. Chanenson
Nora V. Demleitner
Judge Nancy Gertner (Ret.)
Mark D. Harris
Paul J. Hofer
Michael M. O'Hear

#### **EDITORS EMERITI**

Marc Miller Aaron Rappaport

#### **EDITORS' NOTES**

The first part of 2013 has been an extraordinary time at the U.S. Sentencing Commission; the adjectives one might use to describe this period in the Commission's history could range from chaotic to dynamic to prolific.

The year 2013 started with chaos thanks to a so-called hacktivist group: in January, Anonymous claimed responsibility for taking over the U.S. Sentencing Commission's website. This shady group of on-line activists claimed its actions were in protest of the prosecution of Aaron Swartz, a technology wunderkind who committed suicide while facing federal charges for illegal on-line activities. After the Commission sought to restore its website immediately, it was compromised a second time; the Commission thereafter took nearly a month to get its website up and running properly again.

Even without the chaos created by Anonymous, the year 2013 was sure to be dynamic for the U.S.S.C. because the terms of Commissioners Beryl Howell and William Carr expired at the end of 2012. Combined with a unfilled Commissioner position that has lingered since 2010, the Commission began this year with only four Commissioners. Fortunately, in mid-April 2013, President Obama sent to Congress a slate of three accomplished and diverse nominees to return the Commission to full strength: NYU Professor Rachel Barkow, U.S. District Judge Charles Breyer, and U.S. Circuit Judge William Pryor. Though the Senate's confirmation process is never predictable, there is a reasonable basis to expect these Commission nominees to be confirmed before too long.

Last but certainly not least, the U.S. Sentencing Commission has been prolific at the start of 2013 through the release of two major and long-anticipated reports to Congress. These two reports—one concerning the post-*Booker* federal sentencing system in general, the other concerning the operation and application of the child pornography guidelines—provide the focus for this issue of FSR. The primary goal of this FSR issue is to facilitate a better understanding of the nature and limitations of the data assembled and reported by the U.S.S.C. in these two latest reports so that all participants and observers of the federal sentencing system can better appreciate and assess what these reports tell us—and fail to tell us—about modern federal sentencing policies and practices.

#### **FOUNDING EDITORS**

Daniel J. Freed Marc Miller

#### **ADVISORY BOARD**

Albert W. Alschuler
Andrew Ashworth
Judy Clarke
Richard S. Frase
Roger W. Haines Jr.
Thomas W. Hillier II
Magdeline E. Jensen

Marc Mauer

Linda Drazga Maxfield Barbara Meierhoefer

Jon O. Newman

Charles J. Ogletree

Dale G. Parent

Kevin R. Reitz

Jon M. Sands

Michael E. Smith

Kate Stith

Michael Tonry

Ronald F. Wright

Kimba M. Wood

Franklin E. Zimring



Please send articles and editorial correspondence to:

Publication Manager Federal Sentencing Reporter E-mail: berman.43@osu.edu Federal Sentencing Reporter (ISSN 1053-9867, e-ISSN 1533-8363) is published five times a year (February, April, June, October, December) by University of California Press, Journals and Digital Publishing, 2000 Center Street, Suite 303, Berkeley, CA 94704-1223 for the Vera Institute of Justice. Periodicals postage paid at Berkeley, CA, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to Federal Sentencing Reporter, University of California Press, Journals and Digital Publishing, 2000 Center Street, Suite 303, Berkeley, CA 94704-1223. E-mail: customerservice@ucpressjournals.com.

See www.ucpressjournals.com for single issue and subscription orders, and claims information. Domestic claims for nonreceipt of issues should be made within 90 days of the mail date; overseas claims within 180 days. Mail dates can be checked at www.ucpressjournals.com/ucpress.asp? page=ReleaseSchedule. University of California Press does not begin accepting claims for an issue until thirty (30) days after the mail date.

Inquiries about advertising can be sent to adsales@ucpressjournals.com. For complete abstracting and indexing coverage for the journal, please visit www.ucpressjournals.com. All other inquiries can be directed to customerservice@ucpressjournals.com.

Copying and permissions notice: Authorization to copy article content beyond fair use (as specified in Sections 107 and 108 of the U.S. Copyright Law) for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by The Regents of the University of California on behalf of the Vera Institute of Justice for libraries and other users, provided that they are registered with and pay the specified fee through the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC), www.copyright.com. To reach the CCC's Customer Service Department, call (978) 750-8400 or write to info@copyright.com. For permission to distribute electronically, republish, resell, or repurpose material, and to purchase article offprints, use the CCC's Rightslink service, available on JSTOR at http://www.jstor.org/r/ucal. Submit all other permissions and licensing inquiries through the University of California Press's Rights and Permissions website, www.ucpressjournals.com/reprintInfo.asp, or via e-mail: journalspermissions@ucpress.edu.

Printed by The Sheridan Press, Hanover, PA. © 2013 Vera Institute of Justice. All rights reserved.

# **Federal Sentencing Reporter Directory**

Volume 17	(October	2004-June	2005)	١
Animilie TV	(October	2004-Julie	2000)	1

- No. 1 Considering the Post-Blakely World
- No. 2 Further Implications of Blakely
- No. 3 Criminal History
- No. 4 The Booker Aftershock
- No. 5 Is a Booker Fix Needed?

#### Volume 18 (October 2005–June 2006)

- No. 1 The State of Blakely in the States
- No. 2 Defense Perspectives on the Post-Booker World
- No. 3 Taking Stock a Year After Booker
- No. 4 Sentencing at the Supreme Court
- No. 5 Toward Real Reform: The Constitution Project Recommendations; Model Federal Sentencing Guide-

#### Volume 19 (October 2006-June 2007)

- No. 1 Victims and Sentencing I: Victim Impact Evidence, the Crime Victims' Rights Act and Kenna
- No. 2 Victims and Sentencing II: Beyond the CVRA
- No. 3 Claiborne & Rita: Reasonableness Review in the Supreme Court
- No. 4 Information-based Sentencing Analysis
- No. 5 Assessing Crack-Cocaine and Mandatory Minimum Sentencing Provisions

#### Volume 20 (October 2007–June 2008)

- No. 1 Learning from Libby
- No. 2 Prisoner Reentry
- No. 3 White-Collar Sentencing
- No. 4 Debates and Realities Surrounding Crack Retroactivity
- No. 5 American Criminal Justice Policy in a "Change" Election

#### Volume 21 (October 2008–June 2009)

- No. 1 Thoughts for the U.S. Sentencing Commission
- No. 2 Sex Offenders: Recent Developments in Punishment and Management
- No. 3 ABA Roundtable on "Second Look" Sentencing Reforms
- No. 4 On the Shoulders of Giants
- No. 5 "Fast-Track" Sentencing

#### Volume 22 (October 2009–June 2010)

- No. 1 Decreasing Incarceration in the Federal System
- No. 2 Booker at Five
- No. 3 State of Emergency: The California Correctional Crisis
- No. 4 Common Problems and Different Solutions
- No. 5 Judicial Discretion: A Look Forward and a Look Back Five Years After Booker

## Volume 23 (October 2010-June 2011)

- No. 1 Life Without Parole
- No. 2 Criminal Justice Policy Two Years After the Change Election
- No. 3 The Fair Sentencing Act and Its Legal Aftermath
- No. 4 Advice for the U.S. Sentencing Commissioners
- No. 5 Sentencing and Social Science

## Volume 24 (October 2011-June 2012)

- No. 1 Sentencing Within Sentencing
- No. 2 Federal Child Pornography Sentencing
- No. 3 Considering Costs and Other Data
- No. 4 Prisoner Rights and Habeas Corpus: Assessing the Impact of the 1996 Reforms
- No. 5 The Post-Booker Advisory Guidelines: Problem or Solution?